



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/777,496

02/12/2004

Christopher Charles Andrews

327723

5259

42074 7590 09/12/2008

FAEGRE & BENSON, LLP

32469

2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER

90 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3901

EXAMINER

LANG, AMY T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3731

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/12/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

e-OfficeActionBSC@faegre.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/777,496	Applicant(s) ANDREWS ET AL.	
	Examiner AMY T. LANG	Art Unit 3731	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Amy T. Lang. (3) Brian Oberst.

(2) Catherine Spolar. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 08 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: All.

Identification of prior art discussed: Brenner (US 6,497,681 B1) and Gardeski et al. (US 2003/0181935 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed possible claim amendments to overcome the prior art rejections of record including more specifically defining the location of the web with respect to the lower edge and distal lead management segment. Also, discussed direct attachment of the web to the distal lead management segment. No agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Amy T Lang/ Examiner, Art Unit 3731	
---	--